Full-Choice Ballots

Only a small group can crowd around a tally board. Big groups use paper ballots, tallied by computer.

**Old-fashioned ballots** oversimplify most issues. They let you mark only one option “yes”, leaving all others “no”. This can create false dichotomies, limited choices that polarize voters and increase conflict.

**Full-choice ballots** reduce those negative effects. They let a voter rank his 1st choice, 2nd choice, 3rd etc. Ranks often reveal the dichotomies, “us versus them” or left versus right, hide moderate points of view.

1. **VOTE HERE**

   Fill only one “O” on each line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>5th</th>
<th>6th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barack Obama</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillary Clinton</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Anderson</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross Perot</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Nader</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bloomberg</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write In</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Full-Choice Ballots

Get your hands on 4 great voting rules.

See fair-share tallies organize voters.

Vote fast on budgets, reps and projects.

A tally board has

- A card for each voter,
- A column for each option,
- A finish line for the favorites.

and Set Budgets

Each **funding level** is like another project. It needs enough **cards** to fill it up.

The **column** for "$3 OJ" starts at the bottom. Its **finish line** is at the tally board's $3 level. The column for "$5 OJ" is blocked off up to $3. Its finish line is at $5; so it needs only $2 in cards. A supporter must put a card in the lower level first.

One at a time, the weak ones lose and the money **moves** – to help favorites still in the running.

5. Should we let a member fund private items?
6. Should people who pay more taxes or dues get more power to spend public money?
7. Should voters see grants by a rep? (or voter?)
8. Did your second choice hurt your first choice?
9. Who could use Fair-share Spending?

Each funding level of an **agency** is like a project. But an agency starts with about 80% of its old budget. So a voter cannot give it nothing and “take a free ride.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Celia</th>
<th>Diana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRV Winner</td>
<td>Runner up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Answers**

IRV: True, True, True. **CV**: 3/4 + 3 votes, True. **Fair Share Budgets**: no, no, yes (no), optional, many. **Pairwise Policies**: yes, mid, yes, no, balanced, not here.

Get complete answers at accuratedemocracy.com
Instant Runoff Voting Elects 1 Winner

For a tabletop tally by Instant Runoff Voting (IRV)
- The **finish line** marks the height of half the cards + 1.
  That is how many votes a candidate needs to win.
- **Eliminate** the weakest candidate if no one wins.
  Draw names from a hat to break ties.
- **Move** your card if your candidate loses.
  This is a “movable vote.”
- **Repeat** until one candidate reaches the finish line!

This chart shows four columns on a tally board. The rule **eliminated** Anna, so voter **JJ** moved his card. Then **Bianca** lost, so **BB** and **GG** moved their cards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anna</th>
<th>Bianca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eliminated 1st</td>
<td>Eliminated 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pairwise Tally Centers a Policy

- Flag C stands at our **center**, by the median voter. Three flags surround C, about 5’ from it.
- **Pairwise** asks: “Are you closer to flag A than B? If so, please raise 1 hand.” Then A against C, etc. We put each total in the **Pairwise table** below.
- The winner must top every rival, one-against-one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>against</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A pole stands at our center, by the median voters. It holds a short Red ribbon and a long Blue one.
- If the Red ribbon gets to you, the Red policy gets your vote with its narrow appeal.
- But if the Red cannot touch you, the **wide appeal** of the Blue policy gets your vote. Which one wins?
- If the flags are places for a **heater** in an icy cold room:
  10. Do we turn on its fan to spread the heat wide?
  11. Put it at our middle or in the biggest group?
  12. Voters on the fringes have some influence?
  13. Did the middle voter enact any policy alone?
  14. Did this favor a balanced or a one-sided policy?
  15. Should a first-choice vote count more?

Choice Voting Elects 3 Reps

For a 3-seat election by Choice Voting (CV)
- The **finish line** is set at 1/4 of the cards + one.
  Do not give a card to a candidate who has finished.
- **Eliminate** the weakest candidates one at a time.
- **Move** your cards until three candidates win!
- CV is used in many Australian and Irish elections, at Princeton, Harvard, Berkeley, Oxford and Cambridge, in some unions and in the Church of England.
  CV gives each group their fair share of council seats. It elects more women and political minority candidates. It increases choices for voters and turnout of voters. It increases the effective votes, those which elect reps.
- **5.** What total percent must three CV reps win?
- **6.** Only three candidates can win 25% + one vote: T, F
  Ask questions one thru three with each voting rule.

Movable Money Votes Buy Public Goods

Fair-share Spending by Movable Money Votes (MMV)
- Let’s say we each put in $1 to buy some items.
  You get two 25¢ voting cards and a 50¢ card.
- We say an item needs modest support from 8 of us to prove it is a public good worth public money. So the **finish line** marks the height of 8 cards.
- You may put only one of your cards in a column. So you can't dump all your cards on a private item.
  Tip: Give your double card to your favorite. This way 4 eager voters can fund a low-cost item.

- A costly item must fill several columns. A column here holds $2, so a $4 item must fill two columns.
- When an item wins, the banker hides its cards. We drop any item that costs more than all the cards left. Then one at a time, we drop the least popular item, with the lowest level of cards in its columns.
- **Move** your card from a loser to your next choice.
  Tip: You may save a threatened favorite by briefly withholding your cards from lower-choice items.
- We stop when all items still on the table are paid up. Only a few items can win, but all voters can win!