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| “This is *the* site for learning about democracy.”  —Zoe Weil, author of *Most Good, Least Harm*, president of the Institute for Humane Education  “... a huge contribution to the democracy cause.”  —John M. Richardson Jr., former chairman of  The National Endowment for Democracy  “Congratulations on a brilliant piece of work.”  —Robert Fuller, former president of Oberlin College, author of *Somebodies and Nobodies*, and *All Rise*  The primer, games and pictures let you  **Read, Touch and See How**  The best types of voting are quick and easy,  **centered and stable,** **yet inclusive and fair.**   They help groups, from classrooms to countries.  $ $ $  $ $ $  $ $ $  **One** tool compares the votes for several  **1**  versions of a **policy**. Two tools give  fair shares of seats or $pending.  **Share** this colorful  booklet with friends.  **Grow** support in your  school, club or town. **Enjoy** better relations,  politics and policies. | **ACCURATE DEMOCRACY**  **FairVote**  **Loring** | **Accurate**  **Democracy**  **Groups from Classrooms to Countries Make Better Group Decisions**  ***Cvr50wide*With 4 Tools**  **Help Groups from Classrooms to Countries** |
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| Some Users and Endorsers  1. Ranked Choice Voting, RCV, elects leaders  in more and more places: New York City and San Fransico, Alaska and Maine; plus colleges such as Duke, Harvard, Prince­ton, Rice, Stanford, Tufts, MIT, Cal Tech, Carlton, Clark, GWU, Reed, Vassar, UCSC, the Universities of Auburn, Houston,  CA, IA, IL, MA, MI, MN, NC, OK, TX, VA, WA and WY.  2. Multi-winner PRCV elects whole councils at Cambridge Mass, Portland Maine and Portland Oregon; plus colleges such as Carnegie Mellon, Clark, MIT, Oberlin, UC Cal, UC Davis, UCLA, UCSB, UT Austin, and Vassar.  For decades, Australian and Irish voters have used  Ranked Choice Voting in local and national elections.  **Many groups endorse Ranked Choice Voting**.  Organizations: Oscars, Church of England, Common Cause, Sierra Club, UUA, American Academy of Arts & Sciences,  Leagues of Women Voters: AZ, CA, FL, ME, MA, MN, NC, OR, SC, VT, WA, and more  National Newspapers Editorials: New York Times, USA Today, Washington Post; Local Papers: Portland Press Herald, Las Cruces Sun News, and many more  Journalists: David Brooks, Hendrik Hertzberg, and more  Celebrities: Jennifer Lawrence, Krist Novoselic, and more  US Senators: McCain, Murkowski, Obama, Sanders, more  US Reps: Keith Ellison, Jamie Raskin, Don Beyer, more  Parties: **Democrats** of CA, CO, ME and MA; Green Party, Libertarian Party; **Republicans** of Alaska, UT, VA, more.  fairvote.org/our-reforms/ranked-choice-voting-information/ | | |  Hendrix,  fairvote.org/editorial\_board\_support\_for\_rcv\_in\_2018    | | | About Us  About  info@fairvote.org  FairVote is a nonpartisan champion of electoral reforms that give voters greater choice, a stronger voice, and a representative democracy that works for all Americans.  FairVote has a proven record since 1992 as a trailblazer that advances and wins electoral reforms at local, state, and national levels through strategic research, communications and collaboration. Today it is the driving force behind advancing ranked choice voting and fair representation in multi-winner legislative districts that will open up our elections to better choices, fairer representation, and more  civil campaigns for better government.  About My Work VotingSite@gmail.com  In 1990, John R. Chamberlin, and Samuel Merrill III encouraged me to use their statistical research, noted on page 63, to support a hybrid Condorcet-RCV tally. (See page 30.) In the 1990s, I created *PoliticalSim*™ and *SimElection*™.  They compared 30 single- and multi-winner tallies from around the world and were used in a few universities. (See pages 48-56.)  Pages 48-56 show basic maps from the simulation games. My sim research led to *Democracy Evolves*11 in 1997. Then I helped FairVote as a webmaster and librarian.  For many years, I’ve advised some groups developing  refining the use of successfully tested Fair Share Voting. (See pages 24, 43 and 46.) This booklet summarizes *Accurate Democracy.com*.12  The **goals** include better group-decision results, p. 61. Give clear incentives to cooperate for better harmony, even in diverse communities. [See pages 24](#FairShareVoting), 27, [33](#Condorcet) to [35.](#Relationships)  giving better incentives to voters and leaders. |
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| Glossary and Index  **Accurate democracy** gives groups fair shares of seats and spending It cuts scams and enacts a policy that tops all rivals.  *4 goals*  a **Mandate** is the authority effective votes loan to a     Pages  winner. It is a basic goal. Contrast a wasted vote. **11**-, 21, 57  a **Majority** is more than half of the votes. **11**-, 14-, 30-, 56  a **Plurality** option has the most votes — often not a majority. **"** **rules** use yes-or-no voting; contrast RCV 4-**6**, 11, 23, 31-, 61 Most pages in Part 1 show some problem in plurality voting.  4-6, 14-15, 20.  a **Ranked Choice Vote** lets you rank your first choice and backups.  It is a tool for effective votes and fair shares **14**, 33, 45  a **Voting** **Rule** (algorithm, method, system, tally, tool) has defines a ballot, tally steps, and  a level of support needed to for a win (eg. majority or plurality) . 6, 14, 21, 24, 30, **42**-  a **Wasted vote**, for a loser, a winner's surplus or a powerless rep, discourages voting and weakens democracy.  **12**-18, 23, 27  a **Wrecking amendment** ruins a bill’s chances or its effects.  a **Free-rider "** doesn't relate to the original bill. 30, **33**, 36  See also the **Summary and Index of Benefits** on page 34.  Acronyms and Synonyms  Pages  Consensus process 33, **36-**  CT,Condorcet Tally, Pairwise Comparison 28-**30**-, 44, 54-56  **EC**, Ensemble Council of **CT** plus **FR** ***New*** **8**-, 31, 54-55  **FR**,**Fair Rep**, Fair Representation (US); PR, Proportional  Representation. (See PRCV, STV below.) 7, **16**-21, 54, 61  **MMP**, Mixed-Member Proportional 19-20, 55  **FSV**, Fair Share Voting ***New*** 22-**24**-, 36-, 43, 46, 52-  **RCV**,Ranked Choice Voting: (US)STVSingle Transferable Vote,  PRCVProportional RCV, for Fair Rep. **42**, 48-51, 54  **IRV**, Instant Runoff Vote (US), Majority Preferential Vote (Aus),   AV, Alternative Vote (UK) or Hare for SMD. **14**, 39-42, 56  **SMD**,a Single-Member District, contrast FR, PR **6**, 16, 19 | Reform steps 4 3 2 1  4    3  2  1 | AccurateDemocracy  Make Better Group Decisions Help Groups from Classrooms to Countries  Robert Loring    Voters waiting |
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